

## A NOTE ON THE VALENCE OF CERTAIN MEANS

BY

ZHOU JI

*Department of Basic Science, Southwest Petroleum Institute  
Nanchong, Sichuan 637001, People's Republic of China*

AND

XIAO PINGAN

*Department of Mathematics, Sichuan Normal University  
Chengdu, Sichuan 610066, People's Republic of China*

## ABSTRACT

Given two functions  $f(z)$ ,  $g(z)$  in the (usual) class  $S$ , we can form the new functions (arithmetic and geometric mean functions)

$$F(z) = \alpha f(z) + \beta g(z) \quad \text{and} \quad G(z) = z(f(z)/z)^\alpha (g(z)/z)^\beta,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$  and  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ . This paper determines the maximum valence of the functions  $F$  and  $G$ .

**1. Introduction**

Let  $A$  denote the class of functions  $f(z)$  regular in the unit disk  $E$  and  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ . Furthermore, let  $S$ ,  $ST$ ,  $SP$  and  $CC$  denote the subclasses of  $A$  consisting of univalent, starlike, spiral-like and close-to-convex functions respectively; then, as is well known,  $ST \subset SP \subset S$  and  $CC \subset S$ .

In [3], Goodman proved that if functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are selected properly from  $S$ , then the sum

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2} (f(z) + g(z))$$

and the product

$$G(z) = \sqrt{f(z)g(z)}$$

---

Received April 29, 1992 and in revised form September 16, 1992

have valence infinity in  $E$ . In [4], Goodman discussed further the more general means

$$(1) \quad F(z) = \alpha f(z) + \beta g(z),$$

$$(2) \quad G(z) = f^\alpha(z)g^\beta(z) = z(f(z)/z)^\alpha (g(z)/z)^\beta,$$

where  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ . He proved that if

$$1/(1 + e^\pi) < \alpha, \quad \beta < e^\pi/(1 + e^\pi),$$

then there are functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  in  $S$  such that the functions  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$ , defined by (1) and (2) respectively, have valence infinity in  $E$ . But [1], if  $0 < \alpha \leq 1/(1 + e^\pi)$ , what can be said? Is there some bound on the valence of  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$  that is a function of  $\alpha$ ? This note determines the maximum valence of  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$ , hence answers these questions.

## 2. Arithmetic means

We first investigate the maximum valence of  $F(z)$  when  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are in  $SP$ .

**THEOREM 1:** *If  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , then there are functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  in  $SP$  such that the function  $F(z)$ , given by (1), has valence infinity in  $E$ .*

*Proof:* (i) If  $\alpha = \beta = 1/2$ . We define functions

$$f(z) = z(1+z)^{-1+i} \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = z(1+z)^{-1-i}$$

where all powers are the principal branches. We see easily that  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are in  $A$ . Then we observe that

$$\operatorname{Re}[e^{\pi i/4} z f'(z)/f(z)] > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re}[e^{-\pi i/4} z g'(z)/g(z)] > 0$$

( $z \in E$ ) and hence the functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are in  $SP$ .

By (1), we obtain

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2} z ((1+z)^{-1+i} + (1+z)^{-1-i}).$$

The condition  $(1+z)^{-1+i} + (1+z)^{-1-i} = 0$  leads to

$$(3) \quad (1+z)^{-1+i}/(1+z)^{-1-i} = -1$$

or  $2i \ln(1+z) = (1+2n)\pi i$ . We set  $z_n = e^{(1+2n)\pi/2} - 1$  ( $n = -1, -2, \dots$ ). For each negative integer  $n$ ,  $z_n$  is in  $E$  and is the root of the equation (3), i.e., there are infinitely many points  $z_n \in E$  ( $n = -1, -2, \dots$ ) such that  $F(z_n) = 0$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 and  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Since  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are symmetric, without any loss of generality, we may assume  $\alpha < \beta$ . For each pair  $\alpha, \beta$  that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 and  $\alpha < \beta$ , there are infinitely many integers  $k$  such that

$$0 < \frac{1}{4k\pi} \ln \frac{\beta}{\alpha} < 1.$$

We take any integer  $k_1$  from these integers  $k$  and set

$$b = \frac{1}{4k_1\pi} \ln \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad a = 1 - \sqrt{1 - b^2}.$$

It is obvious that  $0 < a, b < 1$  and  $|1 - a - bi| = 1$ . We define the functions

$$f(z) = z(1+z)^{-a-bi} \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = z(1+z)^{-a+bi}$$

where all powers are the principal branches. Obviously,  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are in  $A$ . We obtain

$$(4) \quad zf'(z)/f(z) = (1 + (1 - a - bi)z)/(1 + z).$$

We set  $R(z) = (1 + (1 - a - bi)z)/(1 + z)$ . Now  $R(z)$  is a linear (Möbius) transformation. It maps the unit circle onto straight line  $L$  which passes through the origin and makes an angle  $\theta_1$  ( $-\pi/2 < \theta_1 < 0$ ) with the positive real axis. Hence, by  $R(0) = 1$ , we obtain that  $R(z)$  maps the unit disk onto the half-plane on the right of the line  $L$ . We set  $\theta = -\pi/2 - \theta_1$ . Then we have  $-\pi/2 < \theta < 0$  and

$$\operatorname{Re} [e^{i\theta} z f'(z)/f(z)] > 0$$

( $z \in E$ ). Hence  $f(z)$  is in  $SP$ . Similarly, we can obtain that  $g(z)$  is in  $SP$ . By (1), we have

$$F(z) = z (\alpha(1+z)^{-a-bi} + \beta(1+z)^{-a+bi}).$$

The condition  $\alpha(1+z)^{-a-bi} + \beta(1+z)^{-a+bi} = 0$  leads to

$$(5) \quad (1+z)^{-a+bi}/(1+z)^{-a-bi} = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$$

or  $2bi \ln(1 + z) = \ln(\alpha/\beta) + (1 + 2m)\pi i$ . We set  $z_m = e^{d_m} - 1$ ,

$$d_m = \frac{1}{2b} [i \ln(\beta/\alpha) + (1 + 2m)\pi] \quad (m = -1, -2, \dots).$$

Since

$$b = \frac{1}{4k_1\pi} \ln(\beta/\alpha),$$

we obtain that for each negative integer  $m$ ,  $z_m \in E$  and  $z_m$  is the equation (5), i.e., there are infinitely many points  $z_m \in E$  such that  $F(z_m) = 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

Since  $SP \subset S$ , by Theorem 1, we obtain

**COROLLARY 1:** *If  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , then there are functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  in  $S$  such that the function  $F(z)$ , given by (1), has valence infinity in  $E$ .*

### 3. Geometric means

We first give one lemma. It is due to Campbell and Singh [2].

**LEMMA:** *Let  $G(z)$  be analytic in  $E$  and  $N$  be a simply connected region in  $E$  with  $\partial N \cap \partial E = e^{i\theta}$ . Let  $P(z)$  and  $Q(z)$  be analytic in  $E$  and satisfy*

- (a)  $G(z) = P(z)Q(z)$ .
- (b)  $\lim P(z) = c \neq 0$  as  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$  within  $N$ .
- (c)  $Q(z)$  has no asymptotic values within  $N$  at  $e^{i\theta}$ , i.e., for every path  $\nu$  in  $N$  ending at  $e^{i\theta}$ ,  $Q(z)$  does not tend to a finite or infinite limit as  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$  along  $\nu$ .
- (d)  $w_1$  is in the range set of  $Q(z)$  on  $N$ , i.e., for every  $r > 0$ , there is a point  $z$  in  $\{|z - e^{i\theta}| < r\} \cap N$  with  $Q(z) = w_1$ .
- (e)  $w_1$  is not in the cluster set of  $Q(z)$  on  $\partial N$ , i.e., there is no sequence  $z_n$  on  $\partial N$  for which  $Q(z_n) \rightarrow w_1$ .

Then  $cw_1$  is in the range set of  $G(z)$  on  $\tilde{N}$ .

**Remark:** Let the region  $M$  contain the region  $N$  and  $\partial M \cap \partial N = \{d\}$  be a single point set. We replace the unit disk  $E$  by  $M$  and replace  $e^{i\theta}$  by the point  $d$ . The Lemma is valid.

**THEOREM 2:** *If  $\alpha \geq \beta > 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , then there are functions  $f(z)$  in  $SP$  and  $g(z)$  in  $CC$  such that the function  $G(z)$ , given by (2), has valence infinity in  $E$ .*

*Proof:* Since  $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ , the functions  $f^\alpha(z)$  and  $g^\beta(z)$  are not well-defined in  $E$ , but the condition  $\alpha + \beta = 1$  assures us the product  $G(z)$  can be defined so that it is regular in  $E$ . If we make a cut in  $E$  by deleting the points  $z \geq 0$ , then each factor can be defined so that it is regular in the cut unit disk  $E^*$ . The following considerations are then valid in  $E^*$ .

We define functions

$$f(z) = z(1+z)^s \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = -(1+z) \ln(1-z)$$

where

$$s = -\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(2 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)}i, \quad z \in E$$

and power and logarithm are the principal branches. Obviously,  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are in  $A$ .

Applying the same techniques used in Theorem 1, we can obtain that the function  $f(z)$  is in  $SP$  since  $|1+s| = 1$  and

$$zf'(z)/f(z) = [1+z+sz]/(1+z).$$

We obtain easily that the  $n$ th coefficient of  $g(z)$  is

$$b_n = (2n-1)/[n(n-1)], \quad n \geq 2.$$

Then we observe that  $b_2 > 1$  and

$$(n+1)b_{n+1} - nb_n = -1/[(n-1)n].$$

By [5, Vol. II, page 28, problem 8], we have  $g(z)$  is in  $CC$ .

From (2), we obtain

$$G(z) = f^\alpha(z)g^\beta(z) = z^\alpha (-\ln(1-z))^\beta (1+z)^q$$

where

$$q = \alpha \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(2 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)}i.$$

We set

$$P(z) = z^\alpha (-\ln(1-z))^\beta, \quad Q(z) = (1+z)^q, \quad w_1 = 1,$$

$c = (-1)^\alpha (-\ln 2)^\beta$ ,  $e^{i\theta} = -1$ ,  $M = E^*$  and  $N$  is the region bounded by a triangle with vertices  $-1$ ,  $-\frac{1}{2}(1+i)$  and  $\frac{1}{2}(-1+i)$ . Hence, by the Lemma and the remark, we obtain that  $G(z)$  assumes the value  $(-1)^\alpha (-\ln 2)^\beta$  infinitely often in  $E^*$ , i.e.,  $G(z)$  has valence infinity in  $E$ . ■

**COROLLARY 2:** *If  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , then there are functions  $f(z)$ ,  $g(z)$  in  $S$  such that the function  $G(z)$ , given by (2), has valence infinity in  $E$ .*

*Proof:* We note that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are symmetric. Since  $SP \subset S$  and  $CC \subset S$ , hence, by Theorem 2, we obtain the Corollary. ■

References and further results on the valence of sums and products can be found in Goodman [5] Volume II, Chapter 14, Sections 2 and 6. An interesting modification of the problem is to find the largest disk in which  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$  are always univalent, when  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are arbitrary functions from certain fixed sets.

### References

- [1] D.A. Brannan and W.K. Hayman, *Research problems in complex analysis*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **21** (1989), 1–35.
- [2] D.M. Campbell and V. Singh, *Valence properties of the solution of a differential equation*, Pacific J. Math. **84** (1979), 29–33.
- [3] A.W. Goodman, *The valence of sums and products*, Can. J. Math. **20** (1968), 1173–1177.
- [4] A.W. Goodman, *The valence of certain means*, J. Analyse Math. **22** (1969), 355–361.
- [5] A.W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions*, Polygonal Publishing Co. Inc., Washington, New Jersey, 1983.